UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,027	10/27/2003	Claire Hartmann-Thompson	MIC35 P-333	3883	
277 7590 03/11/2010 PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP 695 KENMOOR, S.E. P O BOX 2567 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			EXAMINER		
			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/694,027	HARTMANN-THOMPSON, CLAIRE		
F			
Examiner	Art Unit		

-	SAM P. SIEFKE	1797					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c		7055				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.11	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriat	on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 224)				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Zheng teaches the use of polyhedral oligomeric silsequimatrix, polysiloxane) to create new stable polymer. It wo time of the invetion to modify Munoz to employ a POSS polymeric matrix	oxane (POSS) nanoparticles polymould have been obvious to one havir	erized with copolymer ng an ordinary skill in t	rs (polymer the art at the				
polymeric matrix 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	/Samuel P Siefke/	nit 1707					

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.Part of Paper No. 20100310